

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

RICHARD ANDRUS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No.
v.)	
)	
CITY OF WILMINGTON; CITY OF)	
WILMINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT;)	
CORPORAL HENRY CANNON, individually, and)	
As agent of the CITY OF WILMINGTON)	
POLICE DEPARTMENT; OFFICER CPL CHIRS)	
CONNELLY, individually, and as agent of the)	
CITY OF WILMINGTON POLICE)	
DEPARTMENT; OFFICER MARTY STORY,)	
individually, and as agent of the)	
CITY OF WILMINGTON POLICE)	
DEPARTMENT, OFFICER STEPHEN BROCK,)	
Individually and as agent of the CITY OF)	
WILMINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT,)	
and OFFICER JEFFREY GLENN, individually)	
and as agent of the CITY OF WILMINGTON)	
POLICE DEPARTMENT)	
)	
Defendants.)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

NOW COME the Plaintiff, Richard Andrus, by and through his attorney, Michael W. Modica, and complains of the Defendants, City of Wilmington; City of Wilmington Police Department; Officer Cpl. Henry Cannon, individually and as agent of the City of Wilmington Police Department Officer Cpl. Chris Connelly, individually and as agent of the City of Wilmington Police Department; Officer Marty Story, individually and as agent of the City of Wilmington Police Department; Officer Stephen Brock, individually and as agent for the City of Wilmington Police Department, and Officer Jeffrey Glenn, individually and as agent for the City of Wilmington Police Department as follows:

1. The claims set forth herein arise and are instituted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 to redress the deprivation by the defendants, acting under color of state law, of rights, privileges and immunities secured to the plaintiffs by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Richard Andrus, is an adult citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the State of Delaware.
3. Defendant, City of Wilmington is, and at all relevant times was, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and is engaged in the operation of a law enforcement agency known as the City of Wilmington Police Department. Defendant City of Wilmington, by and through the City of Wilmington Police Department, is under a duty to run its policing activities in a lawful manner so as to preserve to its citizens the rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed and secured to them by the constitutions and laws of the United States and the State of Delaware.
4. Cpl. Henry Cannon is a member of the City of Wilmington Police Department, and upon information and belief is an adult citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Delaware. At all times relevant, Defendant Cpl. Henry Cannon was acting under color of law and within the scope of his employment as an agent, servant, and/or employee of the City of Wilmington.
5. Cpl Chris Connelly is a member of the City of Wilmington Police Department, and upon information and belief is an adult citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Delaware. At all times relevant, Defendant Cpl. Chris Connelly was acting under color of law and within the scope of his employment as an agent, servant, and/or employee of the

City of Wilmington.

6. Officer Marty Story is a member of the City of Wilmington Police Department, and upon information and belief is an adult citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Delaware. At all times relevant, Defendant Officer Marty Story was acting under color of law and within the scope of his employment as an agent, servant, and/or employee of the City of Wilmington.
7. Officer Stephen Brock is a member of the City of Wilmington Police Department, and upon information and belief is an adult citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Delaware. At all times relevant, Defendant Officer Stephen Brock was acting under color of law and within the scope of his employment as an agent, servant, and/or employee of the City of Wilmington.
8. Officer Jeffrey Glenn is a member of the City of Wilmington Police Department, and upon information and belief is an adult citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Delaware. At all times relevant, Defendant Officer Jeffrey Glenn was acting under color of law and within the scope of his employment as an agent, servant, and/or employee of the City of Wilmington.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This is an action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1343 and 28 U.S.C. §1367.
10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, and §1393 in that all Defendants are residents of this district and all acts or omissions which give rise to this

cause of action occurred in this District.

FACTS

11. At all times relevant hereto, Richard Andrus was diagnosed with and suffered from schizo-affective disorder and other mental illnesses and has received psychiatric treatment related to his mental illness from Connections located at 500 West 10th Street, Wilmington, DE 19802. Connections is an out-patient mental health facility.
12. Due to his mental illness, Richard Andrus has required constant mental health treatment and medication.
13. On August 8, 2005 Audrey Andrus brought Richard Andrus to Connections for treatment. The staff at Connections determined that Richard Andrus needed to be transferred to the Delaware State Hospital for further in-patient treatment. The medical staff at Connections executed Civil Commitment paperwork to have Andrus admitted to the Delaware State Hospital for treatment.
14. In order to transfer Mr. Andrus from Connections to the Delaware State Hospital, the Connections' staff followed its protocol and contacted the City of Wilmington Police Department to assist in the transfer.
15. In response to the transfer request from Connections, Officers Connelly and Story of the Wilmington Police Department arrived at Connections to escort Richard Andrus to the Delaware State Hospital.
16. Richard Andrus expressed that he did not want to go to the Delaware State Hospital and refused to leave his vehicle.
17. Officers Connelly and Story unsuccessfully attempted to physically extricate Andrus from the vehicle.

18. The officers then called for backup to assist in the transfer.
19. Shortly thereafter Officers Cannon, Brock, and Glenn arrived at Connections to assist with the transfer of Andrus.
20. The officers made several unsuccessful attempts to physically remove Andrus from his vehicle, including spraying him with pepper spray in the face, and kicking and punching him.
21. When Andrus continued to refuse to leave the vehicle Officer Connelly physically assaulted Andrus, including striking Andrus in the head with an asp baton. At the same time, the other defendants assaulted Andrus by striking him about his head and body.
22. Eventually, the officers physically removed Andrus from the car, proceeded to strike him about his face and body, contorted his arms in a painful position during the course of placing handcuffs, and placed him on the ground with excessive and unnecessary force, all of which caused Plaintiff to suffer painful injuries.
23. The City of Wilmington police officers named above used grossly excessive and unnecessary force in placing a fragile, mentally ill Richard Andrus in custody and transferring him from Connections to the Delaware State Hospital. All defendants herein named engaged in applying excessive and unnecessary force against the Plaintiff, or assisted other officers in applying unnecessary force, or ratified the use of unnecessary force by their inaction in stopping its use against Andrus.
24. As a result of the willful, wanton and malicious assault and battery, all of which was excessive and unnecessary at the hands of the City of Wilmington police officers, Mr. Andrus sustained serious and painful physical injury including, but not limited to, a fracture in the right superior facet of C-6 of his cervical spine, concussion, scalp laceration, head and

face contusions.

25. Mr. Anderson's beating at the hands of the Wilmington police officers also exacerbated his pre-existing mental illness.

**COUNT I: 42 U.S.C. §1983 USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE (OFFICER JOHN DOE #1
AND OFFICER JOHN DOE #2)**

26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs one through 25 above as fully set forth herein.
27. Defendants Henry Cannon, Chris Connelly, Marty Story, Stephen Brock, and Jeffrey Glenn intentionally, or with deliberate indifference and callous disregard of Plaintiff's constitutional rights, used unreasonable and excessive force under the color of state law during the arrest and/or detention of the Plaintiff in violation of the Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and 42 USC §1983. Plaintiff specifically alleges that there was an unreasonable detention and/or seizure of his person, and illegal and unconstitutional use of excessive force and also a deprivation of liberty without due process, all in violation of 42 USC §1983 and the constitutional provisions set forth herein. Defendants' willful and malicious violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights was the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff seeks judgment against defendants, Henry Cannon, Chris Connelly, Marty Story, Stephen Brock, and Jeffrey Glenn for compensatory, special, and punitive damages all costs, fees, and expenses, including attorney's fees, interest and such other relief as deemed appropriate.

COUNT II

28. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 27 and hereby incorporate them as though fully set forth herein.
29. Defendant Henry Cannon, Marty Story, Stephen Brock, and Jeffrey Glenn unlawfully,

intentionally, recklessly and with malicious intent, assaulted Plaintiff in an attempt to do violence to Plaintiff. Defendant unlawfully committed such violence by intentionally and maliciously assaulting the Plaintiff proximately causing the physical injuries referenced to herein.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff seeks judgment against defendants, Henry Cannon, Chris Connelly, Marty Story, Stephen Brock, and Jeffrey Glenn for compensatory, special, and punitive damages all costs, fees, and expenses, including attorney's fees, interest and such other relief as deemed appropriate.

COUNT III

30. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 29 and hereby incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.

31. Defendants acts were grossly negligent, willful, and/or, wanton proximately causing plaintiff's injuries in the following respects:

- a) Using force against a mental patient, including punching, kicking, spraying with pepper spray and striking with an asp baton represents an extreme and outrageous departure from the ordinary standard of care.
- b) Using force against a mental patient reflects a conscious indifference to the safety of the Plaintiff.
- c) Detaining/arresting the Plaintiff who had not committed a criminal offense and for whom there was no probable cause.

32. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and/or wanton conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff seeks judgment against defendants, Henry Cannon, Chris Connelly, Marty Story, Stephen Brock, and Jeffrey Glenn for compensatory, special, and punitive damages

all costs, fees, and expenses, including attorney's fees, interest and such other relief as deemed appropriate.

**COUNT IV: 42 U.S.C. §1983 (CITY OF WILMINGTON AND
CITY OF WILMINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT)**

33. Plaintiff realleges the foregoing paragraphs and 1 through 32 as fully set forth herein.
34. Defendant City of Wilmington and City of Wilmington Police Department, by and through their authorized agents, servants, and employees, permitted, encouraged, and tolerated an official pattern, practice, or custom of its law enforcement officers violating the federal constitutional rights of the public at large, including plaintiffs.
35. Defendants City of Wilmington and City of Wilmington Police Department, by and through their authorized agents, servants, and employees, had in place at the time of the incident one or more of the following policies, practices or customs, which was a proximate cause of plaintiff's injuries:
 - a) A failure to adequately trained and educate their officers in the proper procedures to employ when interacting with citizens;
 - b) The failure to adequately train and educate their officers and the proper procedures to employ when interacting with mentally ill citizens;
 - c) The failure to adequately train and educate their officers in the proper use of force the citizens;
 - d) A failure to adequately train and educate their officers and the proper use of force to subdue mentally ill citizens;
 - e) A failure to adequately train and educate their officers as to how to respond when a fellow officer uses unjustifiable excessive force on a mentally ill citizens;
 - f) A failure to discipline their officers with respect to violations of the laws of the State of Delaware, the Constitution of the United States, interim policies regarding the use of force; and

- g) A failure to adequately investigate citizens complaints, officer misconduct, in compliance with policy, creating an atmosphere where officers routinely violate policy and violate constitutional rights of the public at large, including plaintiffs.
36. Defendant City of Wilmington and City of Wilmington Police Department, in engaging in and/or tolerating one or more of the foregoing policies, practices or customs, acted with deliberate indifference to, and in reckless disregard of the welfare and rights of the public at large, including plaintiffs.
37. The foregoing to actions of defendants Henry Cannon, Chris Connelly, Marty Story, Stephen Brock, and Jeffrey Glenn were directly and proximately caused by the aforementioned policies, practices and customs of the defendants city of Wilmington and city of Wilmington Police Department.
38. The conduct of defendant City of Wilmington and City of Wilmington Police Department was reckless, willful, wanton, oppressive and grossly negligent, entitling plaintiffs to punitive damages.
39. As a direct and proximate result of the said conduct of defendant City of Wilmington and City of Wilmington Police Department, plaintiff Richard Andrus has been injured and has suffered a loss of personal freedom, physical injury, mental injury, emotional pain and suffering and mental anguish.
40. As a direct and proximate result of said conduct of defendants City of Wilmington and City of Wilmington Police Department, plaintiff Alice Walker has been injured in has suffered a loss of Richard Andrus' society, companionship and affection.

DAMAGES

41. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs one through 40 as though fully set forth herein.
42. The direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and/or omissions of the defendants, plaintiffs were injured. The damages for which plaintiffs seek compensation from defendants, the jointly and severally, including, but are not limited to, the following:
- a) The physical mental and emotional pain of Richard Andrus the past and future;
 - b) Medical expenses incurred and to be incurred in the future;
 - c) Pre and post-judgment interest;
 - d) Statutory and discretionary costs;
 - e) Punitive damages;
 - f) Attorney's fees;
 - g) All other relief this court deems just and proper.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff seeks judgment against defendants, Henry Cannon, Chris Connelly, Marty Story, Stephen Brock, and Jeffrey Glenn for compensatory, special, and punitive damages all costs, fees, and expenses, including attorney's fees, interest and such other relief as deemed appropriate.



MICHAEL W. MODICA, Esquire
Bar ID No. 2169
715 King Street, Suite 300
P.O. Box 437
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 425-3600
Attorney for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS <u>Richard Andrus</u> (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>New Castle</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) <u>Michael Modica</u> <u>302-425-3600</u> <u>715 King St.</u> <u>Wilm. Del. 19801</u>	DEFENDANTS <u>City of Wilmington, et al.</u> County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>New Castle</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)
---	---

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Citizen of This State</td> <td style="width: 33%;">PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State</td> <td style="width: 33%;">PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of Another State</td> <td>PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td>Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State</td> <td>PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country</td> <td>PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td>Foreign Nation</td> <td>PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> </tr> </table>	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4	Citizen of Another State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 6
Citizen of This State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4										
Citizen of Another State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 5										
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	PTF DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 6										

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	
CONTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights
FORFEITURE/PENALTY <input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	BANKRUPTCY <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609
OTHER STATUTES <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from another district (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): <u>42 U.S.C. § 1983</u>
---	---

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause: <u>Police - Excessive Force</u>
----------------------------	---

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<input type="checkbox"/> CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	--	------------------	---

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER
-------------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------

DATE <u>8/6/07</u>	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD <u>Michael Modica</u>
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	

RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE
-----------	--------	--------------	-------	------------

AO FORM 85 RECEIPT (REV. 9/04)

United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Civil Action No. 07 - 486

ACKNOWLEDGMENT
OF RECEIPT FOR AO FORM 85

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF 7 COPIES OF AO FORM 85.

AUG 07 2007
(Date forms issued)

Tanya Sellers
(Signature of Party or their Representative)

Tanya Sellers
(Printed name of Party or their Representative)

Note: Completed receipt will be filed in the Civil Action